

tips & tools

MEAT STANDARDS AUSTRALIA



Meat Standards Australia beef information kit

Meat Standards Australia beef information kit

Contact:

Ph: 1800 111 MSA
1800 111 (672)

Published by Meat & Livestock Australia Limited
ABN: 39 081 678 364
© Meat & Livestock Australia, 2010
Reprinted June 2010
ISBN: 1 74036 505 4

This publication is published by Meat & Livestock Australia Limited ABN 39 081 678 364 (MLA). Care is taken to ensure the accuracy of information in the publication, however MLA cannot accept responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of the information or opinions contained in the publication. Readers should make their own enquiries in making decisions concerning their interests.



contents

What is MSA?	3
How MSA grades are determined	5
MSA requirements for handling cattle	7
How to supply beef in the MSA system	9
The effect of tropical breeds on beef eating quality	11
The effect of ossification on beef eating quality	13
The effect of marbling on beef eating quality	15
The effect of pH on beef eating quality	17
How MSA beef is graded	25
The effect of the pH–temperature decline on beef eating quality	27
How tenderstretch affects beef eating quality	29
How ageing affects beef eating quality	31
The effect of cooking on beef eating quality	33
Fat distribution and eating quality	35
Selling cattle through a MSA saleyard	37
The effect of growth promotants on beef eating quality	39
Maximising eating quality with tropical breed cattle	41

tips & tools

MEAT STANDARDS AUSTRALIA

MSA01



What is MSA?

Meat Standards Australia (MSA) is a valuable asset to the Australian beef industry, providing opportunities to differentiate product in the market. Unlike existing industry description systems, MSA accurately predicts eating quality for individual beef muscles.

The complex series of factors which result in the eating quality of a beef meal are taken into account in the MSA production and grading process. This solves the long-standing consumer problems of selecting beef and choosing an appropriate cooking method.

Beef purchasing by consumers

The MSA labels provide a consumer guarantee of eating quality at three levels in conjunction with cooking method.



This is all the consumer needs to know to purchase and prepare beef with confidence.

Application of the system can provide a dynamic new consumer focus and drive positive change in beef industry trading systems. At retail, description by final cooked result can be linked to price and replace the complex and often misleading system of cut names and quality descriptions now used. Relating MSA grade results to price along the production chain can encourage and reward production approaches that improve consumer acceptance of beef.

Replacing variable quality with accurate eating quality grades can underpin branded beef programs and provide a basis for improved demand with an associated shift in price and volume.

Key points

- MSA removes the need for consumers to have specialist beef knowledge.
- MSA retail labels advise the correct cooking method for every piece of beef and guarantee the eating quality result.
- MSA product must meet consumer set standards at one of three quality levels: MSA 3, MSA 4 or MSA 5.
- MSA involves all sectors of the beef production chain, from paddock to plate.
- MSA provides detailed feedback on eating quality to the processor, feedlot and the producer.

How did MSA begin?

MSA began as an industry program in 1996 following detailed consumer research investigating the continuing decline in beef consumption.




Figure 1: Beef consumption per capita 1980 – 2009



The key problems identified in MSA research were a reduced level of cut and cooking knowledge among consumers and the degree of quality variation in the beef available. The period of beef decline coincided with growth in competitive products offering greater consistency and less demanding product knowledge. While relative pricing had also changed, consumers stated they would buy more beef, even at higher prices, if it was reliable.

The consumer standard

A total consumer focus has been the foundation of MSA development. The grade target has always been to accurately establish and satisfy consumer set standards. Early MSA research investigated consumer taste parameters to establish the degree of variation between consumers. Grading could not be effective without a reasonable consensus view of eating quality. The findings established that there was very strong agreement on beef eating quality among consumer groups. From this, base protocols were established to utilise consumers in testing the full range of beef produced. The scoring system and boundaries to define grades have been strictly set from analysis of the consumer results unrelated to all production factors.

All MSA beef is graded on the basis of the consumer test score predicted for a particular beef muscle cooked by the nominated method. Further information on consumer testing and grade standards is available in *MSA Tips & Tools: How MSA grades are determined*.

How is the MSA grade established?

The MSA grade is established by calculating the direct and interactive effects of all factors established as affecting eating quality. Over 80,000 consumers, across eight countries have participated in MSA consumer testing providing scores on over 560,000 beef samples from more than 56,000 individual cuts. A very large database contains details of the consumer scores for each cut in conjunction with product information. This includes the animal's breed, sex, age and growth history, detailed processing and chiller assessment data together with the individual cut and muscle, days of ageing and cooking method tested.

Analysis of this data has established a series of factors which, when used in combination, allow the consumer score to be predicted with reasonable accuracy. No single factor is all-important, which is why grades based entirely on breed, dentition, marbling or other single attributes fail to guarantee eating quality. Virtually all steps in the production process have some impact on the eventual consumer result.

The MSA-trained graders collate information provided from the cattle supplier, through the MSA vendor declaration, with abattoir information and chiller assessment detail. The data is entered into a hand held computer that enables a complex statistical calculation to be made estimating the interactive effect of all factors on eating quality. Information on each carcass is provided to the abattoir and the supplier in MSA feedback.

The program then produces a grade score specific to each muscle for each applicable cooking method, covering ageing periods from 5–35 days. This determines the consumer label to be used. Individual carcasses are stamped with an eating quality group. Eating quality groups collate carcasses that share cuts within grade score ranges to enable accurate carton labelling. Further details on the grade calculation process, the grading procedure and each grading input may be obtained in other MSA tips and tools.

How is MSA integrity maintained?

MSA is a voluntary cooperative program requiring coordination and rewarding best practice across all industry sectors. Those who choose to participate in MSA are licensed, with license conditions requiring detailed audit and total product integrity. Producers and feedlots are registered and provide required information via a vendor declaration. Abattoirs, wholesalers, retailers and food service are licensed and incorporate MSA requirements into their quality assurance programs. The MSA graders are professional and perform the grading function on behalf of the industry. Grader accuracy is monitored through regular analysis and graders are required to complete regular correlations against the grading standards. Consumer complaints are monitored and product traced back to source.

For more information

Visit www.mla.com.au/msa or contact MSA 1800 111 672.




Level 1, 165 Walker Street,
North Sydney NSW 2060
Ph: +61 2 9463 9333
Fax: +61 2 9463 9393
www.mla.com.au

Reprinted June 2010
ISBN: 1 74036 391 4
© Meat & Livestock Australia, 2010
ABN 39 081 678 364

tips & tools

MEAT STANDARDS AUSTRALIA



MSA02

How MSA grades are determined

Why grade beef?

The aim of MSA grading is to guarantee consumers that a piece of beef will eat to the quality shown on an MSA label when cooked by the method shown. This simple description system can form a basis for retail pricing and generate product confidence. This has been lacking, with consumers unable to reliably select beef of the desired quality. Research shows that this lack of product confidence has restricted sales volume and price.

What is beef quality?

For a grading system to work, consumers have to agree on 'what is quality'. If individual opinions differed widely then grading would not be effective. MSA research has examined consumer beef quality judgements in detail using the results of over 80,000 individuals tasting and scoring more than 560,000 beef samples. This has proven that groups of consumers have a very consistent opinion on beef quality.

How does MSA test consumers?

MSA has developed extremely detailed testing protocols to ensure that the scores obtained relate only to the individual consumer and the beef sample, and are not affected by random influences such as irregular thickness or cooking variation. The protocols also detail issues of sample preparation, order and method of serving. For example, every consumer is served seven samples, which include a high and low quality product. The first sample is common to provide a standardised benchmark with the following six presented following a 'Latin square' arrangement as shown opposite.

Key points

- MSA grades are set from analysis of consumer test results.
- Grade standards are independent of all production factors.
- The MSA grade score is a composite of tenderness, juiciness, flavour and overall liking scores.
- The MSA grade score boundaries reflect consumer judgement.

Common link product

1	2	3	4	5	6
2	4	1	6	3	5
3	1	5	2	6	4
4	6	2	5	1	3
5	3	6	1	4	2
6	5	4	3	2	1

This ensures that each product is served an equal number of times in each position and that each is served an equal number of times before and after each other product.

Consumers are recruited from the community to represent diverse backgrounds and areas. Selection criteria are: 20–50

years of age, eat beef once or more per week and prefer beef cooked medium.

Each consumer completes a score sheet for every sample tested. This involves marking lines to score tenderness, juiciness, flavour and overall liking and ticking one of four boxes to indicate whether the sample was of unsatisfactory, good everyday, better than everyday or premium quality.

Score sheet

Tenderness

Not tender |-----| Very tender

Juiciness

Not juicy |-----| Very juicy

Liking of flavour

Dislike extremely |-----| Like extremely

Overall liking

Dislike extremely |-----| Like extremely

Please tick one of the following to rate the quality of the beef sample you have just eaten.

Choose **one** only (you must make a choice).

- Unsatisfactory
- Good everyday quality
- Better than everyday quality
- Premium quality

How are consumer results used to develop the MSA grading model?

The grading model predicts how each cut will eat. The prediction system used has been developed from consumer testing over 56,000 individual beef cuts selected to represent a wide range of cuts, cattle, production systems, processing practices, ageing times and cooking methods. Ten consumers have tasted each individual cut. The highest and lowest two scores are 'clipped' and the middle six averaged to produce the MSA score used in the database for research purposes.

Maintaining the system

Consumer standards are continually reassessed through the consumer taste-testing program using research product and a strict independent auditing program.

By continually monitoring consumer scoring, grade standards can be adjusted over time in line with any evident change in consumer preference to maintain eating quality satisfaction.

How is the MSA score calculated?

The MSA score, out of 100, is calculated by adding a percentage of the individual consumer scores for each sensory component as follows:

Tenderness	40%
Juiciness	10%
Flavour	20%
Overall liking	30%

These percentages have been established from statistical analysis and provide the best relationship between the 'lines and boxes' marked on the consumer score sheets.

How are the MSA grade standards set?

The MSA score that forms the cut-off point between each grade is also set from analysis of the consumer test data. The calculated MSA score is compared statistically to the box ticked and the optimum division points become the grade boundaries. A safety margin is included at the low end of good everyday MSA 3 to reduce the risk of any MSA product being unsatisfactory.

For more information

Visit www.mla.com.au/msa or contact MSA 1800 111 672.



Level 1, 165 Walker Street,
North Sydney NSW 2060
Ph: +61 2 9463 9333
Fax: +61 2 9463 9393
www.mla.com.au

Reprinted June 2010
ISBN: 1 74036 391 4
© Meat & Livestock Australia, 2010
ABN 39 081 678 364

tips & tools

MEAT STANDARDS AUSTRALIA

MSA03

MSA requirements for handling cattle

How you handle your cattle affects their eating quality

An important element contributing to predictable eating quality performance is the management of cattle on-farm or in the feedlot prior to slaughter. For this reason MSA has produced guidelines to optimise the eating quality potential of the animal.

The long period of care and investment in producing an animal with high eating quality potential is most at risk in the two weeks pre-slaughter and the first few hours post slaughter. The best carcass can be reduced to a low quality, unacceptable product by inappropriate action in this period.

The damage is caused by changes in muscle glycogen (blood sugar) levels. Glycogen is in essence the energy reserve of the muscle. The glycogen level in muscle is increased by feeding (a process taking days) and rapidly reduced by stress (which may only take minutes) or activity in the live animal. At the point of slaughter, the glycogen in muscle is converted to lactic acid that steadily decreases the pH of the muscle.

Mustering and good feed is important

The production of MSA graded product is consequently a partnership between the producer and the abattoir. An abattoir cannot rectify poor cattle handling practices or nutritional problems. Cattle should be mustered as quietly as possible, as it takes at least 4–5 days for the muscle glycogen levels to be restored, once they have been used. For this reason it is recommended that cattle are supplementary-fed good quality feed for at least one month prior to dispatch, to maximise the eating quality potential of the animal.

Key points

Cattle dispatched for slaughter must meet with the following requirements:

- Be continually grazed or fed rations to a level that is adequate for growth for a minimum period of one month prior to dispatch.
- Be handled and mustered quietly to reduce stress.
- Have free access to water until dispatch.
- Have free access to feed until dispatch, other than a minimum period required for preparation through cattle yards.
- Do not consign any cattle of poor temperament or with signs of severe stress.
- Do not consign sick cattle or cattle within a withholding period for any treatment.
- Do not mix cattle from different mobs or pens on the property within two weeks of dispatch.
- Do not dispatch cattle purchased or moved from another property/saleyard within one month of arrival.
- Load cattle quietly, preferably with no use of goads and electric prodders.
- Load cattle at the recommended densities set out in the trucking industry code of practice.

Temperament is also important

Temperament is also an important issue, with work in the United States by Dr Temple Grandin demonstrating that calm cattle show a reduced incidence of dark cutting. Cattle with poor temperament can lose more glycogen during the period leading up to slaughter. These cattle also have the tendency to stir up other cattle in the pen, which can lead to a higher overall incidence in dark cutting and high pH carcasses.

This is the reason for the MSA producer requirement that the cattle handling guidelines on the previous page be observed.

Recommended sound practices however are much broader and deserve inclusion in professional property and herd management.

Other stress factors

Other stress factors including weather should be taken into account with mustering and truck timing adjusted to minimise the animal welfare and eating quality risk, as dramatic changes in temperature (such as a cold snap or heavy rain whilst trucking) can cause undue stress to animals.

Damage is irreversible

In carcasses the pH fall is irreversible and continues post rigor mortis to an ultimate value, generally within 24 hours of slaughter, depending on the conditions. The optimum pH is below 5.71. MSA consumer eating quality tests show lower scores as ultimate pH rises above 5.70.

Where initial animal glycogen levels are very low at slaughter a higher ultimate pH results, which may be accompanied by a dark, undesirable meat colour. This is referred to as dark cutting and is a major industry problem. Dark cutting carcasses tend to be an indicator of stress to the animal pre-slaughter, but can be a result of other factors such as the chilling process and the age of the animal (meat colour gets darker as the animal ages).

Abattoirs have an important role

In addition to ultimate pH, the rate of pH decline (from around 7.10 at slaughter) in relation to muscle temperature, is of critical importance to eating quality. If the temperature fall is rapid and the pH fall slow, carcasses will cold shorten, resulting in extremely tough meat. If the pH fall is rapid and the temperature fall slow, heat shortening results. This also creates slightly tougher and less juicy beef with eating quality problems relating to colour changes, excessive drip loss and lack of improvement with ageing. The ageing damage is a result of the enzymes involved being denatured by low pH/high temperature conditions.

The rate of pH decline is also a function of the size of the carcass and the fat cover over the major primals. There are also abattoir conditions affecting this process, such as the time from knocking to the chiller, the temperature of the

slaughter floor and the chilling environment, including packing density and the cycle itself.

All electrical inputs (including electrical stimulation units, immobilisers and hide puller rigidity probes) have an impact on the rate of pH decline and resultant eating quality, and are thus often varied by MSA licensed processors to optimise this. Processors are required to maintain conditions that meet the MSA 'pH-temperature window' that describes the optimum rate of pH and temperature decline.

For more information

Visit www.mla.com.au/msa or contact MSA 1800 111 672.



Level 1, 165 Walker Street,
North Sydney NSW 2060
Ph: +61 2 9463 9333
Fax: +61 2 9463 9393
www.mla.com.au

Reprinted June 2010
ISBN: 1 74036 391 4
© Meat & Livestock Australia, 2010
ABN 39 081 678 364

tips & tools

MEAT STANDARDS AUSTRALIA

MSA04

How to supply beef in the MSA system

Consumer taste-tests have determined the factors that affect eating quality resulting in accurately established grade standards. The factors that affect eating quality are set as minimum requirements for MSA. Producers supply cattle following the minimum requirements as outlined in *MSA Tips & Tools: MSA requirements for handling cattle*.

Cattle are consigned to an MSA licensed abattoir to be slaughtered by the day after dispatch. MSA graders check vendor declaration details prior to grading at the abattoir.

Producers can receive detailed feedback on the eating quality outcome of their cattle by consigning through MSA participants.

MSA is a 'paddock to plate' approach that rewards best practice operations to guarantee eating quality for the consumer.

Replacing variable quality with accurate eating quality grades can provide a basis for improved demand with an associated shift in price and volume.

Step 1

To supply MSA beef direct or through an MSA underpinned brand you must be registered as an MSA producer. Register by contacting MSA on 1800 111 672 or by downloading a registration form from www.mla.com.au/msa. The website also offers an option to register online – following a short training program – with instant access to vendor declarations.

Step 2

Fax the form to MLA on 1800 999 672 ensuring that you fax both sides of the form.

Alternatively you can post the form to MLA.

Allow two weeks to receive your registration number and producer pack containing information on how to obtain your MSA vendor declarations.

Key points

- Producers wishing to supply cattle for MSA must be registered.
- An MSA vendor declaration and a National Vendor Declaration (NVD) accompany the cattle to the MSA licensed abattoir.
- The MSA vendor declaration confirms that MSA guidelines for cattle handling and trucking have been followed and that tropical breed content is recorded.
- MSA feedback is provided on cattle consigned for MSA.

Step 3

Check that you meet the list of requirements shown in the box on the *Tips & Tools: MSA requirements for handling cattle*. Once you have received your MSA producer registration number, access to MSA vendor declarations and are satisfied you meet the MSA requirements, you can consign cattle through the MSA system. Visit the MSA website for a list of MSA licensed abattoirs.

Step 4

If you are supplying through an MSA underpinned brand or to an MSA licensed abattoir make sure you are familiar with the purchaser's specifications. MSA does not take yield parameters into account but many purchasers define specific carcass weight and fat ranges. Carcasses outside the nominated range may be discounted regardless of their MSA grade result.



A butcher showing MSA quality meat.

Step 5

You should liaise with the abattoir to ensure cattle are slaughtered by the day after dispatch from the property. When consigning cattle to an abattoir consider trucking distances and seasonal considerations. In extreme heat it may be necessary to truck cattle at night. Likewise in very cold conditions avoid trucking cattle at dawn. If possible avoid trucking through wind and rain or sleet. During high risk periods use trucks that have relatively closed in sides to minimise wind chill. For more information on the importance of these measures (see *MSA Tips & Tools: The effect of pH on beef eating quality*).

Step 6

Fill in both the National Vendor Declaration (NVD) and the MSA vendor declaration to accompany the consignment to the abattoir. It is important that all the details are filled in correctly on both forms. MSA graders use the information from the MSA vendor declaration in the grading process. If details are missing or inaccurate the cattle cannot be graded accurately. Tick the appropriate tropical breed content box. If you are unsure of the content of your cattle use the visual guidelines and make a conservative judgement. If you know the breeding details include them in the comments section of the form. Livestock personnel at the abattoir are trained in determining and verifying tropical breed content.

Step 7

Ensure you receive your carcass feedback sheets from the abattoir or alternatively download them from the MSA Feedback and Benchmarking System (FBS) on the MSA website. Knowing the product you are producing is the first step in satisfying the customer.

Check your compliance rates. Note any common factor in the ungraded carcasses. For example if most of the carcasses failed on rib fat, the cattle require more finish. If ossification levels are high but the carcass weights and rib-fat

measurements are ample, the cattle may be better turned off earlier.

The MSA FBS also allows you to benchmark your performance against other producers.

Step 8

Talk to other MSA producers to share the knowledge they have gained from using the system. At times of seasonal risk you may want to discuss strategies for minimising pH and stress risk (see *MSA Tips & Tools: The effect of pH on beef eating quality*).

MSA feedback will enable you to gauge the performance of the cattle you produce.

Link other sources of information into your production objectives. EDGENetwork® workshops, your state Department of Agriculture or Primary Industries, local or preferred consultants can all assist in improving your management system to improve your product.

Step 9

Ensure you retain all feedback for comparison purposes. Compare each consignment with the one previous, particularly where production changes have been made in an effort to improve compliance.

A small management change can significantly improve compliance without the extended generation time associated with genetic improvements.

For more information

Visit www.mla.com.au/msa or contact MSA 1800 111 672.



Level 1, 165 Walker Street,
North Sydney NSW 2060
Ph: +61 2 9463 9333
Fax: +61 2 9463 9393
www.mla.com.au

Reprinted June 2010
ISBN: 1 74036 391 4
© Meat & Livestock Australia, 2010
ABN 39 081 678 364

tips & tools

MEAT STANDARDS AUSTRALIA



MSA05

The effect of tropical breeds on beef eating quality

What are tropical breed cattle?

Tropical breed cattle or *Bos indicus* are genetically adapted to tropical environments, excelling in their ability to survive and produce under adverse conditions including heat and poor quality pastures. Tropical breed cattle are also resistant to parasites. They are an important breed option for the climate of northern Australia. Breeds include the Brahman and crosses of the Brahman such as Brangus and Santa Gertrudis. Non-tropical breeds include the Africander and its derivative, the Belmont Red.

Temperate or *Bos taurus* breeds include British and European cattle.

The effect on eating quality

MSA research has shown that tropical cattle breeds including the Africander have a negative impact on the eating quality of many cuts. The major effect is on the striploin, cube roll, tenderloin and oyster blade. In the cuts with high levels of connective tissue – such as the brisket, topside, outside flat and eye round – the effect of tropical breeds on eating quality is reduced. This can be seen in the table below.

Tropical breed content	Striploin		Eye of knuckle	
	MSA Score	MSA Grade	MSA Score	MSA Grade
100	42	Ungrade	44	Ungrade
50	48	3	46	3
0	55	3	48	3

The above data is taken from a standard MSA carcass with the following specifications: HSCW 240kg, male, AT hang, ossification 150, MSA marbling 270, meat colour 1C, rib fat 7mm, pH 5.55, loin temp 7.0°C, ageing 5 days, cooking method grill, non HGP-treated.

Key points

- Tropical breed content has a negative impact on the eating quality of many cuts.
- Hump height and carcass weight can estimate a 'tropical breed equivalent' for non-tropical breeds.
- Cuts from tropical-breed cattle can still grade MSA 3, 4 or 5.
- Good management is the most important factor in all breeds particularly nutrition and stress minimisation.

The percentage of tropical breed (or calculated equivalent) content is taken into account by the grading model in combination with other factors. All cuts from 100% tropical breed cattle can still meet MSA consumer grade standards if appropriate management strategies are applied throughout the production chain.

A distinctive physical characteristic of tropical breeds is a hump. In crossbred cattle the hump size relates reasonably to the percentage tropical breed content.

MSA research has found that an equivalent 'tropical breed effect' on eating quality can be estimated by relating the carcass hump height to carcass weight. This is done within the grading model as the MSA grader enters the hump height for each carcass.

How is hump height measured?

Hump height is measured by holding a ruler parallel with the surface of the sawn chine perpendicular to the 1st Thoracic or 6th cervical vertebrae. The ruler is moved to the position of the greatest hump width. Hump height is measured by the MSA grader and is recorded in gradients of 5mm. It is primarily used to verify the tropical breed content indicated on the MSA vendor declaration.



How can tropical breed content and tropical cattle be managed to improve eating quality?

Since tropical breed content has a significant influence on MSA grading, producers should consider the amount required in their herd for environmental tolerance. The use of *Bos taurus* cattle or cross-breeds where suitable, will enable better grading compliance. As with all cattle, management practices that result in cattle being heavier and fatter at a younger age will improve grading results. Many successful operators incorporate feedlot or supplementary feeding strategies to finish a younger and superior quality product (see *MSA Tips & Tools: Maximising eating quality with tropical breed cattle*).

Post-slaughter, many cuts from tropical breed cattle can be improved through the use of tenderstretch and longer ageing (see *MSA Tips & Tools: How tenderstretch affects eating quality*).

What is required of the producer?

Where tropical breed cattle or their crosses are being consigned for MSA grading, the tropical breed content must be declared. This is done by ticking the appropriate box on the MSA vendor declaration. If the mob being consigned has varying levels of tropical breed content, the highest amount should be indicated on the vendor declaration. For example, if a lot of cattle are mainly Hereford (0% TBC) and Hereford-Santa Gertrudis-crosses (19% TBC) but with a few Braford's (Hereford x Brahman, 50% TBC), the vendor should tick the 50% box on the declaration. Where possible, producers should consign cattle of similar tropical breed percentage together to get the best grading result, as they cannot be drafted after arriving at the abattoir.

MSA visual standards are available to assist in determining breed content. In addition to ticking the box on the vendor declaration, additional breed information should be provided in the comment section. Abattoir livestock personnel are trained in determining tropical breed content.

The following tables list breeds of cattle and their tropical breed content.

Breed	TBC	Breed	TBC
Boran	100%	Brahmousin*	50%
Brahman	100%	Santa Gertrudis	38%
Sahiwal	100%	Belmont Red	0%
Droughtmaster*	50%	Hereford	0%
Charbray*	50%	Angus	0%
Brangus*	50%	Tuli	0%
Braford*	50%	Senepol	0%


**It should be noted that these breeds may vary in their tropical breed content percentage from 25% to 75% depending on the actual breed make-up.*

MLA makes no representation as to the accuracy of any information or advice contained in this document and excludes all liability, whether in contract, tort (including negligence or breach of statutory duty) or otherwise as a result of reliance by any person on such information or advice.

Bull	Crosses		TBC
		Cow	
Santa	X	Brahman x Hereford	44%
Santa	X	Droughtmaster	44%
Euro or British	X	Droughtmaster	25%
Euro or British	X	Santa	19%
Santa	X	Santa x Euro	28%
Belmont Red	X	Brahman	50%
Angus	X	Santa	19%
Euro or British	X	Brahman x Santa	34%
Brahman	X	Santa x Hereford	60%
Euro or British	X	Charbray	25%

Where can I obtain visual standards?

A pamphlet containing visual standards of tropical breed cattle content is available from MSA.



Measuring hump height.

For more information

Visit www.mla.com.au/msa or contact MSA 1800 111 672.



Level 1, 165 Walker Street,
North Sydney NSW 2060
Ph: +61 2 9463 9333
Fax: +61 2 9463 9393
www.mla.com.au

Reprinted June 2010
ISBN: 1 74036 391 4
© Meat & Livestock Australia, 2010
ABN 39 081 678 364

tips & tools


MEAT STANDARDS AUSTRALIA

MSA06

Ossification and beef eating quality

What is ossification?

Ossification is a measure of physiological maturity of the beef carcass. As an animal matures, cartilage present around bones gradually fills with blood and develops into bone. Although this development occurs in association with the animal's chronological age, it is affected by nutrition and development. Ossification is measured visually in the chiller by the grader.



In a young animal these bones (vertebrae) are separate.

As the animal matures these 'caps' begin to appear... and the individual bones begin to fuse together.

The scale of ossification runs from 100–590 in 10 point increments and follows the scale developed by the United States Department of Agriculture grading service.

The three areas of the backbone examined to determine ossification are the sacral, lumbar and thoracic vertebrae. The sacral vertebrae are the last five vertebrae on the tail end of an AUS-MEAT standard carcass.

The lumbar vertebrae are the six vertebrae in the loin region of the carcass. The thoracic vertebrae are the 13 vertebrae to which the ribs are attached. Ossification begins in the sacral region (shown in the above pictures) and continues through the lumbar and then thoracic regions.

Key points

- Eating quality declines as ossification increases.
- Ossification increases as the animal ages but can also increase with nutritional or health stress.
- Producers can manage their animals to prevent accelerated ossification.
- MSA grading evaluates ossification in relation to carcass weight.

The following table shows the ossification descriptions for the three vertebrae regions at different scores. It is important to note that the approximate age in months is only a guide in an optimum-condition carcass.

MSA score	Approx age in months	Sacral vertebrae	Lumbar vertebrae	Thoracic vertebrae
100	9	No ossification	No ossification	No ossification
110	10	Capping starts	No ossification	No ossification
130	15	Advancing capping; separation still visible	No ossification	No ossification
150	20	Capping completed but some cartilage still visible	No or minor ossification	No ossification
170	24	Capping completed; sacral closing	Ossification clearly evident	No ossification
200	30	Completely fused	Nearly completely ossified	Some evidence of ossification
300	42	Completely fused	Completely ossified	Partially ossified
400	72	Completely fused	Completely ossified	Outlines plainly visible
500	96	Completely fused	Completely ossified	Outlines barely visible

Why does maturity need to be determined?

Beef is made up of muscle fibre groups surrounded and supported by connective tissue. Connective tissue is made up of elastin and collagen fibres. Collagen fibres form crosslinks to stabilise and strengthen muscles. As the animal matures, the fibres in the meat become progressively stronger and more rigid and are less likely to be broken down in cooking. This results in tougher meat. This process of physiological maturation is not always reflected by chronological age.

Ossification measures the physiological age of the carcass and gives an indication of collagen fibre development.

The effect that physiological maturity has on eating quality is shown in the table below:

Ossification score	Eye rump side		Eye of knuckle	
	Score	Grade	Score	Grade
100	60	3	52	3
150	53	3	47	3
190	51	3	44	Ungrade

The above data is taken from a standard MSA carcass with the following specifications: HSCW 240kg, male, 25% TBC, AT hang, MSA marbling 270, meat colour 1C, rib fat 7mm, pH 5.55, loin temp 7.0°C, ageing 5 days, cooking method grill, non HGP-treated.

Ossification and growth rate

MSA grading relates carcass weight to ossification, effectively a weight for age measure. Cuts from carcasses with lower ossification at the same weight are graded higher. Faster growth rates produce higher carcass weights without a significant increase in ossification levels.

Why not use dentition to measure age?

Actual age is not predicted accurately by either ossification or dentition. Tooth eruption is often delayed in cattle under nutritional stress which can lead to lower dentition scores in poorer quality carcasses. In contrast ossification is accelerated by nutritional or other stress reflecting the associated lower eating quality.

Further advantages are that ossification can be assessed in the chiller during grading and described in 10 point increments from 100–590, a much finer option than the 0, 2, 4, 6 or 8 dentition options.

What factors can influence ossification?

Ossification rates will vary slightly between animals, but all cattle can be managed to minimise the rate of increase. Nutrition plays a significant role. Cattle that are fed a poor diet are likely to have increased levels of ossification. Ossification cannot be reversed so if cattle suffer early

nutritional setbacks and then have access to good feed they are still likely to show increased ossification, compared to animals of a similar age that had a steady growth rate. This is particularly evident in cattle that have come off scrub or low nutrition country into a feedlot. The carcass weight will improve considerably and the rate of ossification may slow but the effects of the early poor nutrition cannot be reversed.

Heifer carcasses often have higher ossification than steers. This may partially reflect earlier sexual maturity and associated stresses. It often reflects management differences with steers being fed for maximum growth and early sale versus heifers being grown for joining weight targets. The heifers which fail to get in calf, or lose their first calf, are often sold as meat with much higher ossification scores than their more favourably treated steer counterparts.

Health may also affect ossification with chronically sick or injured animals showing higher rates. These animals will also have a restricted nutritional intake associated with their illness.

What can be done to keep ossification rates low?

Low ossification rates mean better eating quality and better compliance in cattle presented for grading. Cattle with fast growth rates will reach slaughter weight at a younger age and reduced ossification. Ensuring cattle have ample energy and protein for every stage of growth will assist ossification management.

Heifers selected as culls should be managed the same as steers going to the meat trade however it may be necessary to turn the heifers off early to avoid over fat carcasses.

Sick injured animals should be isolated from the consignment and treated or sent separately as a suspect animal.

For more information

Visit www.mla.com.au/msa or contact MSA 1800 111 672.



Level 1, 165 Walker Street,
North Sydney NSW 2060
Ph: +61 2 9463 9333
Fax: +61 2 9463 9393
www.mla.com.au

Reprinted June 2010
ISBN: 1 74036 391 4
© Meat & Livestock Australia, 2010
ABN 39 081 678 364

tips & tools

MEAT STANDARDS AUSTRALIA

MSA07

The effect of marbling on beef eating quality

What is marbling and why does it vary between carcasses?

Marbling is assessed from the 5th to 13th rib on the carcass. It is deposited unevenly throughout the body, increasing through the carcass towards the neck and decreasing towards the tail. It is the last fat to be deposited and the first to be utilised by the animal as an energy source. Therefore, to maximise marbling, cattle must be on a high nutritional plane. Stress or fasting pre-slaughter can quickly reduce the marbling score. CRC research indicates that marbling potential can also be adversely affected by growth restriction much earlier in life. Marbling is also affected by genetics. Breeds such as the Wagyu, marble extensively in relation to European breeds. British breeds are generally intermediate although it should be remembered that there are strong individual animal differences within each breed and breed type.

Does marbling ensure eating quality?

Marbling has a very positive effect on the eating quality of some cuts but it is only one of the many factors affecting eating quality. High quality cuts from young cattle that have low marbling can have good eating quality, however cuts from high marbling carcasses can fail to grade if other factors are poorly managed. All factors that interact to determine eating quality need to be managed together. However, where all else is equal, enhanced marbling will improve the eating quality of the prime cuts.

The effect of marbling on eating quality

MSA research has related increased marbling to higher eating quality scores for many cuts. The effect is greatest in the high value loin cuts. It is not clear to what extent this relationship is caused by improved tenderness versus juiciness.

Key points

- The term marbling refers to the small flecks of fat scattered throughout the muscle.
- Marbling has a positive effect on eating quality in many high-value cuts.
- Marbling is affected by genetics and nutritional management.
- It is possible to achieve good eating quality without marbling.

The table below shows MSA eating quality scores for three cuts from a carcass at a range of marbling scores. As can be seen, the marbling effect for each cut is different.

MSA marbling	Blade		Striploin		Outside flat	
	MSA score	MSA grade	MSA score	MSA grade	MSA score	MSA grade
200	53	3	50	3	42	Ungrade
400	56	3	57	3	44	Ungrade
600	59	3	64	4	47	3

The above data is taken from a standard MSA carcass with the following specifications: HSCW 240kg; male; 18% TBC; AT hang; ossification 150; meat colour 1C; rib fat 7mm; pH 5.55; loin temp 7.0°C; ageing 5 days; cooking method roast and non HGP-treated.

Assessing marbling

Marbling is assessed at either the 10th/11th rib or at the 12th/13th rib on the carcass. The exposed rib eye is the assessment site used by the MSA grader for marbling, pH, rib fat and meat colour measurement.

MSA-specific marbling scores are used to provide a finer scale than the AUS-MEAT scores. Each MSA marbling score is divided into tenths for grading, creating a score range from 100 to 1,190 in increments of 10. Graders carry visual standards for MSA and AUS-MEAT marbling and determine each score independently. Both the MSA and AUS-MEAT scores are provided on the feedback. However, there is no formula to compare MSA marbling scores to AUS-MEAT marbling scores as the assessment criteria are different. The picture below shows an MSA grader measuring marbling.

Marbling is assessed according to the AUS-MEAT requirements for chiller assessment when the rib eye temperature is below 12°C. However, the lower the temperature the more solid the marbling fat will be, which may marginally improve the visual assessment.



Marbling and genetic improvement

Marbling can be improved by genetic selection. Many breeds now publish EBVs for IMF (intramuscular fat %) which can assist selection. Actual data from carcass feedback is also very helpful although it may be difficult to accurately interpret if collected from cattle finished to different market specifications, killed at different abattoirs or even on different days at the one abattoir.

On-farm management

Detailed knowledge of farm management effects on marbling is lacking at present, although there is an indication that adequate and consistent growth in the phases from birth to weaning and weaning to feedlot entry is important. Suggested target growth rates for these periods are 0.9kg/day from birth to weaning and 0.6kg/day from weaning to feedlot entry. As stress is believed to have a negative impact on marbling, good temperament and management should also be considered. Marbling generally increases as an animal matures and lays down fat. While each individual animal will have more rib fat with increased marbling, the relationship is different between animals, ranging from virtually zero marbling at excessive rib and P8 fat depth to heavy marbling with moderate external fat.

This creates huge differences in profitability for feedlots and others utilising long feeding regimes to target markets which desire heavy marbling.

Is rib fat important?

Rib fat is used in MSA grading as both a minimum requirement for grading and as a prediction input. The 3mm minimum standard aims at reducing temperature variation through the carcass muscles during chilling. Even chilling throughout the muscle produces more consistent and predictable eating quality as well as improved visual appearance. A small eating quality improvement also occurs as rib fat increases from 3mm–18mm. This is in addition to the much larger effect of marbling.

Marbling in the feedlot

Accurate knowledge regarding the marbling potential of purchased feeder cattle will add considerable value when available. Rations, days on feed, HGP use, targeted growth rates and stress minimisation can all impact on marbling potential. Whereas most feedlot practices – including high energy intake, higher fat scores at exit and longer days on feed – improve marbling scores; HGP use will reduce them. Most feedlots will target their feed and management programs to maximise the marbling for the target market specifications.

Factors affecting marbling assessment

The factors likely to influence marbling scores recorded at the abattoir are stress management in lairage, carcass chilling in terms of both temperature and time and the temperature of the eye muscle at grading. MSA graders monitor these factors within MSA licensed abattoirs.

For more information

Visit www.mla.com.au/msa or contact MSA 1800 111 672.



Level 1, 165 Walker Street,
North Sydney NSW 2060
Ph: +61 2 9463 9333
Fax: +61 2 9463 9393
www.mla.com.au

Reprinted June 2010
ISBN: 1 74036 391 4
© Meat & Livestock Australia, 2010
ABN 39 081 678 364

tips & tools

MEAT STANDARDS AUSTRALIA

MSA08

The effect of pH on beef eating quality

Why is high pH meat a problem?

MSA research has found beef with pH levels above 5.70 to be of lower and more variable eating quality. Accordingly 5.70 has been set as the maximum pH level for MSA grading. In line with eating quality, a slight adjustment is made within the acceptable 5.30–5.70 range, as shown in the table below.

pH reading	Eye round	
	MSA score	MSA grade
5.40	46	3
5.55	46	3
5.70	45	Ungrade

The above data is taken from a standard MSA carcass with the following specifications: HSCW 240kg; male; 18% TBC; AT hang; ossification 150; MSA marbling 270; meat colour 1C; rib fat 7mm; loin temp 7.0°C; ageing 5 days; cooking method grill and non HGP-treated.

In addition to the unacceptable eating quality, high pH meat has the following features:

- It is often known as dark cutting meat, as it generally has a purple appearance rather than the consumer preferred bright red colour.
- A coarse texture.
- Higher water holding capacity (so the meat loses a lot of moisture during cooking).
- Reduced shelf life (bacteria grow more rapidly due to the higher pH and moisture).
- It appears undercooked remaining pink in the centre despite extensive cooking.

Key points

- The acceptable pH range is less than 5.71 for guaranteed eating quality.
- Eating quality is reduced and more variable above 5.70.
- A high pH (>5.70) will generally result in 'dark cutting' meat.
- Energy (glycogen) levels in the animal are important in obtaining a pH within the acceptable range.
- Stress or exertion will result in cattle losing energy.

What is the cost of high pH meat?

Carcasses that have a high pH, (above pH 5.70) are rejected under MSA grading and are excluded from many meat brands, food service operations and markets such as the valuable Japanese trade. Dark cutting meat is ultimately rejected by consumers at the retail level on the basis of its colour.

Because consumers prefer 'bright cherry' coloured meat, dark cutting carcasses are often heavily discounted (up to \$0.45/kg hot carcass weight).

In Australia the incidence of dark cutting is almost 10% in beef. That equates to a potential loss for the industry of almost \$36 million per year.

The good news is that high pH meat can be prevented. And it's worth it. By improving handling and care in marketing livestock, there are other benefits such as:

- reduced bruising
- improved animal welfare
- reduced weight loss


What is pH?

pH is a measure of the acid or alkaline level of the meat. Just as you might measure the acidity of the soil for optimum growth and productivity, MSA measures the acid level of the meat to ensure eating quality. MSA graders measure the pH of the carcass at grading using a pH meter. This measurement is known as the ultimate pH. pH can be measured from 0, which is very strong acid, to 14 which is very strong alkaline.

pH value	Description
14	Strong alkaline
9–12	Common household detergents
7.1	Living muscle (live cattle)
7	Pure water
5.71 – 6.90	Meat classified as 'dark cutting'; shelf life decreased; not suitable for vacuum packaging; generally darker and tougher
5.30 – 5.70	Meat with good visual appeal and potentially good eating quality
4–5	Orange juice, beer
2–3	Vinegar
0	Battery acid


What causes the pH level in the carcass?

Every animal has a certain amount of energy contained in its muscles in the form of glycogen. Once the animal is dead, the muscle glycogen is converted to lactic acid which causes the pH to fall. This is illustrated in the diagram below:



The more glycogen there is, the more lactic acid will be produced. This will enable the pH to fall to within the acceptable 5.70 and below range.

If there is not enough glycogen available in the animal, insufficient lactic acid will be produced and the pH will stay high, resulting in dark cutting. This relationship between glycogen and pre-slaughter depletion is shown by the 'bucket' diagram below:



Nutrition provided for the animal is the energy into the bucket. The holes in the bucket represent the factors that use up energy such as exercise or stress. These factors will always be present in some form, but it is important to minimise their impact. That is, to keep the 'holes' in the bucket as small as possible.

How are glycogen levels maintained?

Glycogen levels are influenced by the amount and value of the feed that the animal has been eating in the month prior to slaughter. Cattle receiving high levels of nutrition from feedlot rations or first-class pasture will have high glycogen levels. Restricted intake or low quality feed will significantly reduce glycogen, often below the critical level.

How is glycogen lost?

When an animal is exposed to a new environment, unfamiliar sounds or new animals in the social group, they become stressed. They will automatically try to act out one of two basic responses, 'fight' or 'flight'. As soon as either of these responses occurs the glycogen stored in the muscles is rapidly mobilised to enable the animal to either run (flight) or to attack (fight). In the case of severe stress or exertion, the 'holes' in the bucket get bigger and much of the energy is lost. When this occurs it will take a minimum of five days on good nutrition before these energy stores start to be replenished.

Poor mustering or handling during yarding and transport loading dramatically increases the rate of glycogen loss. As energy continues to be lost while animals are being transported and yarded, it is important to minimise the loss by making the transport to slaughter time as short as possible and paying attention to transport, lairage conditions and practice.

Minimising stress caused by adverse weather conditions

Weather extremes also create stress and increase glycogen use. In cold weather cattle expend a lot of energy shivering and maintaining body temperature. Likewise when cattle are hot they will pant and sweat in an attempt to cool through evaporation, again using energy. To minimise the impact of cold weather, cattle should be sold and trucked in good condition. In cold weather feeding pre-transport is particularly important. Wind chill from rain, sleet and wind is often more detrimental than cold temperature alone. If there is a grazier's alert forecast, it might be an option to postpone trucking cattle until the weather has improved.

If the temperature is high, cattle should be trucked at night to minimise the risk of heat exhaustion.

At times of seasonal risk make sure cattle are adequately finished. Cattle are most at risk of dark cutting during autumn or winter when the amount of available pasture is limited. Cattle at this time often have only minimal energy reserves so cold snaps or frost can have a critical effect. The cost of supplementary feeding must be weighed up in comparison with the lost income from dark cutting carcasses.

If the cattle are being sold through an MSA underpinned market, the maximum pH allowed is 5.70. Carcasses with a pH above this will not grade under MSA.

Minimising stress by good cattle handling


To minimise the amount of stress when mustering, it is best to avoid using strong enforcers such as electric prodders or dogs. Rattles or flappers can be substituted and will result in less stress for the cattle. Any undue or excessive noise, including loud human voices will also increase stress.

Heifers coming into oestrus (heat) can cause significant stress in the mob by encouraging mounting. A heifer beginning to show signs of oestrus when mustering is likely to go into standing heat on the truck. This is likely to result in an increase in mounting, which will increase stress levels in the mob as well as causing a potential increase in the amount of bruising.

Moving cattle easily: the flight-zone

Cattle have wide-angled vision in excess of 300 degrees. They are surrounded by what is termed their 'flight-zone'. Different animals will have different flight-zones depending on tameness and how handlers approach them. To move cattle easily, handlers should work the edge of the circle. To make the animal move, penetrate the flight-zone. To stop it moving, retreat from the flight-zone.

The point of balance at the animal's shoulder should also be used in moving cattle. Approach an animal from behind the point of balance and it will move forward. Approach it from the front and it will move backwards.



Principle provided by Dr Temple Grandin, Colorado State University

Guidelines to minimise your on-farm dark cutting risk

By following these guidelines you will be able to assess the amount of exposure your animals have to the risk of dark cutting. This step-by-step approach will indicate any problem areas that you may not have previously considered. Good management and nutrition are vital in minimising the dark cutting problem.

Step 1: Review past performance. Assess your on-farm management and handling practices

- Talk to your local abattoir or review past grading feedback results (look at variation in meat colour scores or pH levels. Remember the pH score should be as low as possible below 5.70).
- Identify financial losses or penalties you have incurred for dark cutting in the past.
- Remember that the maximum pH level acceptable under MSA is 5.70, so it is important to review those that did not meet this specification.
- Consider grading compliance rates. Was there a seasonal effect?

Step 2: Assess your current on-farm management and handling practices

- Using the guideline tables in this publication, tick-off those practices you are routinely doing. Take note of those which you are not doing. Cross out the ones which don't apply to your operation.
- Summarise and prioritise the practices which you need to do. Develop an action plan (what you need to do and by when).

Step 3: Change your practices

- Plan your marketing operations carefully.
- Incorporate procedures in your on-farm quality assurance system or management practices.
- Monitor improvements by comparing new feedback sheets with historical ones.
- If necessary, talk to your processor or MSA for further advice.
- Other sources of information to assist in pasture management or supplementary feeding can be obtained from your state Department of Agriculture or Primary Industries or through programs such as PROGRAZE®.
- If supplying cattle to MSA markets, refer to the *MSA Tips & Tools MSA requirements for cattle handling (MSA03)*. All of these requirements are written with an aim to minimise animal stress.

Look at the improvements to your bottom line. Heavy penalties can result from dark cutting carcasses. Good management to minimise the potential damage can be a well spent investment.

Key points to remember

High ultimate pH can have a detrimental effect on meat colour, texture, keeping ability and eating quality.

The following steps can help reduce stress in livestock prior to slaughter:

- Ensure livestock have good nutrition prior to slaughter.
- Muster and assemble stock as quietly and efficiently as possible.
- Handle livestock with care and avoid excessive force and noise.
- Familiarise animals to handling and train stock persons in handling skills.
- Maintain animals in their social groups.
- Ensure livestock have access to water at all times prior to consignment.

For more information

Visit www.mla.com.au/msa or contact MSA 1800 111 672.



Level 1, 165 Walker Street,
North Sydney NSW 2060
Ph: +61 2 9463 9333
Fax: +61 2 9463 9393
www.mla.com.au

Reprinted June 2010
ISBN: 1 74036 391 4
© Meat & Livestock Australia, 2010
ABN 39 081 678 364

On-farm management and marketing

	Recommended practice	Why?	How?	✓ or ✗
1	Select cattle on temperament as well as performance characteristics.	Animals with poor temperament are stress-susceptible and can disturb others within a mob.	There are several tests that can be applied to determine temperament. Advice should be sought from your local Department of Agriculture advisor.	
2	Familiarise animals to handling and human contact.	Animals exposed to frequent positive handling and training move more easily and are likely to be more resilient to pre-slaughter stresses.	Familiarising means frequent and gentle handling and movement of your stock, and getting your animals used to yards and transport. Yard weaning is one way to achieve familiarity. Try exposing animals to different stimuli (in a positive way) such as motorbikes, people on foot and people on horses.	
3	Ensure cattle are on a rising plane of nutrition prior to marketing.	Good nutrition keeps the glycogen 'bucket' topped up. A low plane of nutrition will begin to deplete the glycogen even before you muster them.	Enable growth rates of at least 0.9kg/day. In some cases, supplementary feeding will be necessary during periods when pasture quality declines (eg during winter or drought). Note: Adequate fat cover does not necessarily indicate high muscle glycogen content.	
4	Provide susceptible slaughter cattle (those with a high value and potential for discounting for dark cutting) with a high energy diet prior to marketing.	Stock, such as bulls and heifers, on poor pasture are more likely to have low muscle glycogen levels, and hence may produce higher pH meat.	Cattle with suspected low glycogen levels can be given four weeks of carefully administered, full grain feeding prior to slaughter.	
5	Where possible exclude heifers in oestrus from slaughter consignments.	Heifers in oestrus will encourage increased mounting activity.	Separate heifers showing signs of oestrus from consignments.	
6	Market bulls separately.	Bulls are at higher risk of dark cutting due to their mounting and fighting activities.	Don't market bulls with other animals.	

	Recommended practice	Why?	How?	✓ or x
7	Don't market for slaughter too soon after purchase. Minimise drafting-off cattle just prior to transport.	Cattle need time to adjust to their new surroundings and herd mates. Extra movement and disturbance of animals causes glycogen depletion especially when rushed.	Don't market newly purchased cattle within four weeks of purchase. Draft cattle into slaughter lines at least two weeks prior to slaughter where cattle have to be mixed. Cattle selected for slaughter from within a management group should be drafted as close to transport time as practical.	
8	Comply with manufacturer's instructions regarding the use of HGPs.	Research has found that cattle consigned while under the influence of HGPs are at greater risk of dark cutting. The risk increases even more in heifers.	Check the long acting properties of the HGP on the label and ensure cattle aren't consigned while the HGP is still active. (Note: chemical withholding periods must be adhered to.)	
9	Avoid marketing in, or through, weather extremes.	Sudden climatic changes can increase the risk of dark cutting. This is particularly evident during periods of cold, wet weather.	Avoid marketing under extreme weather conditions (very hot, very cold, raining, or storms) or when there is the potential for sudden climatic changes (particularly cold weather).	
10	Only market healthy animals for slaughter.	Animals with visible signs of disease, or those recovering from disease or trauma are at high risk of dark cutting (marketing animals with obvious signs of disease/trauma is also in breach of the animal welfare code of practice).	Ensure sick animals are treated, well rested and recovered before marketing.	
11	Use well-designed and constructed facilities for slaughter stock preparation.	Animals do not move well through poorly designed yards. Additional force and contact is often required to shift animals which in turn increases the potential for stress and bruising.	Use yards built to a good standard and designed for stock behavioural traits. Consider implementing on-farm QA programs such as CATTLECARE™ or equivalent programs, which address bruising and other dark cutting risk factors.	
12	Consider supplementary feeding before consigning.	When pasture quality declines, supplementary feeding is a useful strategy to minimise the risk of dark cutting. The use of electrolyte or mineral supplements may also prove quite effective (this strategy is still under evaluation and is no substitute for good nutrition).	Provide forage/grain supplements to cattle when on-farm pasture conditions decline.	

Mustering and holding of stock

	Recommended practice	Why?	How?	✓ or ✗
13	Use only reputable transport companies.	Poor transport conditions increases stress levels.	Use transport companies operating under a quality assurance scheme and utilising good animal handling practices (eg Truckcare).	
14	Mustering and assemble stock as quietly and efficiently as possible.	Cattle have sensitive hearing. Unexpected, loud or foreign noises and unnecessary movement can be highly stressful to livestock.	Refrain from using excessive and unnecessary yelling and whip cracking. Use skilled and trained cattle handlers. Work within the flight-zone and point of balance.	
15	Avoid running cattle to assembly areas.	Strenuous physical activity depletes muscle glycogen levels. Trotting cattle for 4km can remove around 30% of the muscle glycogen. It can then be difficult to raise the glycogen levels to an acceptable level before slaughter.	Set aside ample time for mustering.	
16	Minimise use of dogs.	Cattle view dogs as predatory animals. ie Dogs can create stress, especially in confined spaces.	Try using noise or drafting flags to move cattle.	
17	Keep animals in their social groups and don't mix mobs of unfamiliar animals.	Cattle become stressed or agitated when separated from their herd. Lone animals are more difficult to handle. Similarly, mixing unfamiliar animals results in fighting to establish a new social order.	Avoid mixing unfamiliar mobs in holding paddocks prior to transport. If mixing of stock is unavoidable, do so at least one month before marketing and then remuster. Try to avoid isolating any animal. Don't draft out just one or two animals from a herd to meet an order.	

Drafting and loading for transport

	Recommended practice	Why?	How?	✓ or ✗
18	Reduce or eliminate the use of electric prodders and other goads.	As well as risking bruising, excessive use of jiggers and prodders will cause additional stress.	Use techniques such as working the point of balance and flight-zone. Try using flappers, rattles or drafting flags instead.	
19	Be patient, and allow time and space for cattle to move through the yards.	Hurrying animals can increase stress and deplete glycogen levels.	Use trained and skilled stock handlers familiar with quiet and efficient handling. Make sure gates are open before attempting to drive cattle.	
20	Use well designed loading ramps.	Loading ramps, if not designed correctly, can impede animal movement and cause injury.	Loading ramps must be non-slip and less than 25° slope, preferably with stepped incline and double deck loading facilities if two deck transports are loaded regularly.	
21	Avoid dehydrating animals.	Dehydration can lead to stress and glycogen loss.	Make sure water is freely available to stock before they are trucked.	



Level 1, 165 Walker Street
 North Sydney NSW 2060
 Ph: +61 2 9463 9333
 Fax: +61 2 9463 9393
 www.mla.com.au

MLA makes no representation as to the accuracy of any information or advice contained in this document and excludes all liability, whether in contract, tort (including negligence or breach of statutory duty) or otherwise as a result of reliance by any person on such information or advice.

tips & tools

MEAT STANDARDS AUSTRALIA

MSA09

How MSA beef is graded

Licensing an abattoir

All areas of the plant are assessed as each can impact on the eating quality of the final product. Reveal areas are checked to ensure that they will not stress or injure the cattle. It is verified that the plant can slaughter cattle according to MSA time requirements.

The slaughter floor is assessed to determine the requirements for meeting the pH-temperature window (see *MSA Tips & Tools: The effect of the pH temperature decline on beef eating quality*). Cattle that are typical of those to be MSA graded are assessed to determine the likely grading outcome.

If the plant has a boning room, this initial carcass assessment is also used to determine boning-room groups for carcasses so cuts with the same grade can be packed together. As cattle, or requirements change, these boning groups can be adjusted.

Sending cattle to the abattoir

Cattle to be graded under MSA are consigned to an MSA licensed abattoir (a list can be obtained from the MSA website). A National Vendor Declaration (NVD) and an MSA vendor declaration, which is checked by the grader and livestock personnel, are sent with the cattle (see *MSA Tips & Tools: How to supply beef in the MSA system*).

Procedures prior to grading

Carcasses are split down the spine on the slaughter floor and the sides are placed together in the chiller overnight. Grading is generally carried out the next morning prior to commencement of the boning room.

The beef carcasses are quartered prior to grading to expose the rib eye and a minimum of 20 minutes are allowed for the meat to bloom to its optimum colour (Temp <12 degrees Celsius).

The information about each lot, carcass numbers and tropical breed content are taken from the MSA vendor declaration and the abattoir kill sheet.

Key points

- Guaranteeing MSA beef requires standards to be maintained from paddock to plate.
- Cattle that meet the MSA requirements are graded at MSA licensed abattoirs.
- Each carcass is graded by an MSA trained grader with a grade assigned for each individual cut.
- Cuts with the same grade are packed together with the specified cooking method(s) and ageing period required for each grade level specified on the carton label.
- Whole carcasses for the butcher trade receive butcher labels that outline the grade, ageing periods and cooking method(s) for each cut.

The MSA model, which calculates the grading outcome for each carcass, is downloaded into a data capture unit (DCU). This is a small hand-held computer that the grader uses to record the information from each individual carcass during grading.

How carcasses are graded

Each carcass is identified with a carcass ticket and the following information is recorded in the DCU:

- Body number and lot number – cattle from individual vendors will be kept in separate lots.
- Carcass weight – important in determining weight for maturity.
- Sex – male or female.
- Tropical breed content – recorded from the vendor declaration. The hump height is also measured to guarantee the most accurate eating quality grade.
- Hanging method – determined as being either Achilles hang or tenderstretch.



- Ossification – measured to determine carcass maturity.
- Marbling – using both the MSA and AUS-MEAT measurement systems.
- Rib fat – a minimum of 3mm is required, measured at the AUS-MEAT standard site, to ensure that the carcass has adequate fat cover to protect the carcass during the chilling process. Overall fat cover is also assessed including any hide puller damage.
- pH and temperature – pH is measured using a pH meter and must be below 5.71. Temperature should be below 12°C according to the AUS-MEAT standards.
- Meat colour – recorded using AUS-MEAT standard meat colour chips in a range of 1A (very pale) to 7 (very dark purple). As it is important to ensure that consumers are satisfied with the visual appearance, meat colours in the range of 1B to 3 are accepted depending on the abattoir or brand specification.

Information on each of these factors and their impact on beef eating quality is available in other MSA Tips & Tools.

Other measurements that do not impact on eating quality can be taken at the customers' request, including:

- Eye muscle area (EMA) – measured in square cm using an AUS-MEAT grid.
- Fat colour – recorded using AUS-MEAT chips from 0 (white) to 9 (yellow).

The carcass is given a grade code of 0 if all the specifications are met and the cuts can grade under MSA. If the carcass does not meet all the specifications it is given a grade code that indicates which of the specifications were not met.

Grade code	Reason
1	subcutaneous fat depth inadequate
3	fat distribution inadequate
4	pH above 5.70
5	meat colour out of specification
6	met grading requirements but does not meet company requirements
7	miscellaneous (can include bad bruising)
8	outside chiller assessment parameters
9	hide puller damage

Product identification and boning

Carcasses with the same boning group are drafted, boned and the cuts packed together. Each cut contains a food grade insert in the vacuum sealed bag that identifies it as MSA product. Carton labels on each box of MSA product identify the MSA grades, ageing periods and cooking methods for those cuts.

MLA makes no representation as to the accuracy of any information or advice contained in this document and excludes all liability, whether in contract, tort (including negligence or breach of statutory duty) or otherwise as a result of reliance by any person on such information or advice.

All carcasses that meet the MSA standard are handled and segregated in line with approved QA procedures.

Carcasses sent to butchers are broken down and sold according to MSA cut by cook method tables.

How grading reports are generated

All information from the data capture unit is downloaded onto a computer. Detailed grading reports and summaries are printed onsite for distribution back to the producer.

Producers can also access their feedback electronically through the Feedback and Benchmark System on the MSA website at www.mla.com.au/msa

Integrity of the MSA standards

Registered producers are encouraged to attend an MSA workshop. This enables them to understand the factors that affect beef eating quality and the best management practices associated with them.

Licensed abattoirs are periodically checked to ensure the MSA standards are maintained. MSA trained operatives assist on the slaughter floor to ensure the pH temperature window requirements are met.

Graders are regularly correlated against set standards to ensure consistency between all plants.

Licensed wholesale, retail and foodservice outlets are randomly audited by an independent third party.

For more information

Visit www.mla.com.au/msa or contact MSA 1800 111 672.



Level 1, 165 Walker Street,
North Sydney NSW 2060
Ph: +61 2 9463 9333
Fax: +61 2 9463 9393
www.mla.com.au

Reprinted June 2010
ISBN: 1 74036 391 4
© Meat & Livestock Australia, 2010
ABN 39 081 678 364

tips & tools

MEAT STANDARDS AUSTRALIA

MSA10

The effect of the pH-temperature decline on beef eating quality

What is the pH-temperature decline?

The pH decline is the rate at which the carcass pH level falls from 7.10 (live animal pH) to the level at which it will not fall any further (this is known as the ultimate pH). Temperature is also reduced as the carcass is dressed and then chilled. The ideal 'window' is a specification used to describe the relationship between carcass pH and temperature from slaughter to when ultimate pH is reached. If the rate of pH-temperature decline does not fall through the ideal window, then carcass eating quality can be severely compromised. With over 400 meals produced from every carcass it is an important consideration. The ultimate pH alone is also important for eating quality (See *MSA Tips & Tools: The effect of pH on beef eating quality*).

Where is the pH-temperature window assessed?

The window is assessed at the abattoir as part of MSA licensing conditions. The pH temperature decline begins on the slaughter floor and finishes in the chiller when the carcass has reached its ultimate pH. It is assessed by taking sequential pH and temperature readings using a combined pH/temperature meter. Readings are taken from a number of carcasses as they come off the slaughter floor and then at timed intervals until the pH reading is at the ultimate level in the chiller. The time the carcass takes to reach its ultimate pH level determines the rate of pH decline.

The pH-temperature window is periodically checked at every MSA licensed abattoir to ensure that it is always maintained for MSA cattle.


Key points

- The pH-temperature decline must fall through the ideal 'window' for eating quality.
- A pH-temperature decline that falls above the window will result in cold-shortening.
- A pH-temperature decline that falls below the window will result in heat-shortening.
- Both heat and cold-shortened meat are tough and unsatisfactory for the consumer.
- Electrical stimulation is a tool that can be used to manipulate the pH-temperature decline.

What is the pH-temperature specification?

The window requires the carcass pH to pass through 6.0 between 15°C and 35°C. This is shown in Figure 1 (over the page). The readings taken on the carcasses at the abattoir are plotted into a graph to determine the rate of the pH-temperature fall. If the current rate of pH-temperature decline falls through the window, no adjustments to the system are required. If it does not fall through the window, then a number of alterations can be made including the use of electrical stimulation, which accelerates the rate of pH decline. The rate can be adjusted by varying stimulation frequency and application duration.

Figure 1 Abattoir pH-temperature decline window.



What happens if the decline does not fall through the ideal window?

If the pH decline is too slow, remaining high while the temperature falls, cold-shortening will result. This is extremely detrimental to the quality of the meat and will result in:

- Extremely tough meat (cold-shortened meat is described as inedible).

The widespread use of electrical stimulation has resulted in cold-shortening being unlikely in most processing plants.

If the pH decline is too fast and the ultimate level is reached while the temperature is still high, heat-shortening will result. This does not make the meat as tough as cold-shortening but has undesirable effects including:

- an increase in toughness
- meat which is very pale and sometimes watery (known in industry as Pale Soft Exudative – PSE – meat)
- ‘two-toning’ in some cuts leading to unattractive retail appearance
- the prevention of ageing (the enzymes that enable meat to become more tender with age are denatured and will no longer work)
- reduced water-holding capacity

How does electrical stimulation work?

Electric currents applied to the carcass make the pH fall faster. It is not a tenderisation process by itself. In fact, if too much stimulation is used, the pH falls too fast resulting in heat-shortening. There can be a number of electrical inputs on the slaughter floor, all of which need to be taken into account. For example, rigidity probes apply an electric current to the carcass to keep it rigid while the hide puller removes the hide.

MLA makes no representation as to the accuracy of any information or advice contained in this document and excludes all liability, whether in contract, tort (including negligence or breach of statutory duty) or otherwise as a result of reliance by any person on such information or advice.

This in itself can begin to increase the rate of pH fall. When determining abattoir requirements to maintain the ideal pH-temperature window, the amount of stimulation is varied to meet the window specifications.

Does anything else need to be considered?

The rate of pH decline varies with the pre-slaughter state of the animal, the number and type of electrical inputs used during processing, the speed of the slaughter-floor chain, chiller conditions and carcass weight and fatness. The amount of glycogen in the animal is very important in the pH-temperature relationship (See *MSA Tips & Tools: The effect of pH on beef eating quality*). It is also important that the abattoir has handling and receival facilities that minimise the amount of stress the animals’ experience. MSA graders consider all of these inputs in determining the requirements for the abattoir to maintain an ideal pH temperature decline and optimise the eating quality of the beef produced.

Can the producer play a role in keeping the pH-temperature decline in the window?

Yes! It is important that the animals reach the abattoir in as normal condition as possible. Minimising stress and ensuring animals have enough energy reserves will assist in achieving an ideal pH-temperature decline. By following the MSA guidelines (see *MSA Tips & Tools: MSA requirements for handling cattle*) and ensuring the cattle have adequate finish, producers can give their consignment the best possible opportunity to be a satisfying eating experience for the consumer.

For more information

Visit www.mla.com.au/msa or contact MSA 1800 111 672.



Level 1, 165 Walker Street,
North Sydney NSW 2060
Ph: +61 2 9463 9333
Fax: +61 2 9463 9393
www.mla.com.au

Reprinted June 2010
ISBN: 1 74036 391 4
© Meat & Livestock Australia, 2010
ABN 39 081 678 364

tips & tools

MEAT STANDARDS AUSTRALIA

MSA11

How tenderstretch affects beef eating quality

What is tenderstretch?

Tenderstretch is an alternative means of hanging the carcass during chilling. While carcasses are traditionally hung by the heel (Achilles tendon or AT), tenderstretch carcasses may be hung either from the pelvic bone (TX) or through the ligament (TL) that runs down the back and over the tail of the animal (illiosacral ligament).

How does tenderstretch work?

As the carcass is chilled, the muscle fibres contract slightly and become rigid. This process is known as rigor mortis. After rigor mortis has occurred, the muscles are referred to as meat.

When a carcass is tenderstretched, the carcass is suspended by the pelvis so that the leg drops down at a 90° angle. As a result, a number of muscles are held in a stretched position so they cannot contract during rigor mortis. This is shown in Diagram 1. Tenderstretch is most effective in the hindquarter and has a varying effect on each cut.

Traditionally, the carcass is suspended by the Achilles tendon. In the Achilles hung carcass, shown in Diagram 1, the spine is curved and the rear leg muscles have less tension on them. As a result, when these muscles go through rigor mortis they can contract. When this occurs the muscle fibres overlap resulting in slightly tougher meat.


Tenderstretching can be done by a variety of methods. The most common is by positioning the hanging hook under the ligament that runs down the back of the animal (illiosacral ligament) or under the Aitch bone of the pelvis.

Key points


- Tenderstretch hanging improves meat tenderness by preventing muscle shortening.
- The tenderstretch effect varies by muscle, with the eating quality of most hindquarter muscles improved.
- Producers may consider using abattoirs which utilise tenderstretch hanging as it will improve MSA grading results.

Does tenderstretch improve all cuts?

Diagram 1



Tenderstretch (TS)



Achilles tendon (AT)

The tenderstretch effect varies by muscle according to the position on the carcass and degree of stretching. This is shown in the following table.

Cut	Achilles		Tenderstretch	
	MSA score	MSA grade	MSA score	MSA grade
Cube roll	61	3	67	4
Striploin	52	3	60	3
Rump	50	3	58	3
Tenderloin	77	5	71	4
Eye round*	46	3	47	3

The above data is taken from a standard MSA carcass with the following specifications: HSCW 240kg; male; 25% TBC; ossification 150; MSA marbling 270; meat colour 1C; rib fat 7mm; pH 5.55; loin temp 7.0°C; ageing 5 days, cooking method grill and non HGP-treated.

Although the tenderstretch effect is slightly negative in the tenderloin, (which is stretched in an AT carcass), it is strongly positive in most other hindquarter cuts and largely neutral in forequarter cuts other than the cube roll (ribeye).

Tenderstretch is often a key factor in grading compliance for high tropical breed content cattle (see *MSA Tips & Tools: The effect of tropical breeds on beef eating quality*).

The effect of tenderstretch on ageing

In addition to altering the MSA score, tenderstretch also affects the degree and rate of ageing. Quantifying the impact of ageing on each cut is a complex calculation. The MSA grading model calculates this and all other variables for each individual cut.

The table below shows the values for the cube roll tenderstretch and Achilles hung. Tenderstretch significantly improves the five-day score of the cut, but alters the impact of ageing over time. This relationship is variable for each cut and the characteristics of the carcass.

Days age	Cube roll MSA score	
	Achilles	Tenderstretch
5	61	67
14	64	68
21	65	69
28	66	70

The above data is taken from a standard MSA carcass with the following specifications: HSCW 240kg; male; 25% TBC; ossification 150; MSA marbling 270; meat colour 1C; rib fat 7mm; pH 5.55; loin temp 7.0°C; cooking method grill and non HGP-treated.

MLA makes no representation as to the accuracy of any information or advice contained in this document and excludes all liability, whether in contract, tort (including negligence or breach of statutory duty) or otherwise as a result of reliance by any person on such information or advice.

Why is tenderstretch not used more widely?

Although tenderstretch was proven to be effective in improving tenderness twenty years ago, it was not widely adopted due to the perceived inconvenience, extra costs and the lack of financial incentive for improved eating quality. MSA grading quantifies the benefit of tenderstretch, offering the potential to increase returns. This has resulted in several MSA abattoirs adopting the process. Producers supplying these abattoirs will receive superior grade results from cattle supplied.



A tenderstretch carcass.

For more information

Visit www.mla.com.au/msa or contact MSA 1800 111 672.



Level 1, 165 Walker Street,
North Sydney NSW 2060
Ph: +61 2 9463 9333
Fax: +61 2 9463 9393
www.mla.com.au

Reprinted June 2010
ISBN: 1 74036 391 4
© Meat & Livestock Australia, 2010
ABN 39 081 678 364

tips & tools

MEAT STANDARDS AUSTRALIA

MSA12

How ageing affects beef eating quality

What is ageing?

Just as wine or cheese can mature with age, beef also can be improved with time. Ageing is a process that occurs as the muscle fibres in meat are slowly broken down. Naturally occurring enzymes continue to act in the meat resulting in a slow breakdown of the proteins that make up the muscle fibres. This leads to the muscle fibres being weakened and, as a result, aged beef tends to be more tender. The appearance of beef does not change with ageing, as the breaking down of the muscle fibres happens on a microscopic level.

The influence of ageing on eating quality

MSA research has shown that ageing can improve eating quality. The ageing effect is different for various muscles as shown in the table below. The rate of ageing also decreases over time with most improvement in the first 21 days.

Days aged	Cube roll		Tenderloin	
	MSA score	MSA grade	MSA score	MSA grade
5	61	3	77	5
14	64	4	77	5
35	67	4	77	5

The above data is taken from a standard MSA carcass with the following specifications: HSCW 240kg; male; 25% TBC; AT hang; ossification 150; MSA marbling 270; meat colour 1C; rib fat 7mm; pH 5.55; loin temp 7.0°C; cooking method grill and non HGP-treated.

Key point

- Ageing can improve the eating quality of beef by improving the tenderness.
- Ageing can occur on the carcass or in vacuum packaging.
- As all factors that effect eating quality interact, ageing rates and effects also differ. For example, tenderstretch carcasses age at a different rate relative to those that are not tenderstretched.

As all factors that effect eating quality interact, ageing rates and effects also differ. For example, tenderstretch carcasses age at a different rate relative to those that are not tenderstretched (See *MSA Tips & Tools: How tenderstretch affects eating quality*).

How can beef be aged?

Beef can be aged in carcass form, on the bone in primals, or in vacuum packaging for long periods. In practice carcasses tend to be aged only for five days. Further ageing can be carried out, but good chilling and food safety considerations need to be taken into account. Primals can be aged up to 14 days on the bone, although the edges of the meat may tend to spoil and will need to be trimmed.

Product from a boning room is packaged in vacuum-sealed plastic bags that are oxygen free. Meat can be safely stored this way, under refrigeration for up to 12 weeks. Meat that is aged beyond this time may develop 'off' odours and give the beef what is described as a 'liver' taint.

How ageing is applied in the MSA system

The MSA grading model determines the ageing effect for each cut. This establishes the date the cuts will reach the applicable MSA grade. Some cuts may achieve a higher grade with additional ageing. For example, if the cut grades as MSA 4 product after five days, the model will then determine if the cut can reach MSA 5 and the date at which it occurs.

In large abattoir boning rooms, carcasses are often assigned into boning groups. This enables the carcasses that have the same grades for the same cuts to be boned out and packaged together. Carton labels are produced showing the required ageing period. A sample carton label is shown below.



In this example, the cube roll can be released as:

- ✓ MSA 3 grilling, roasting or stir fry cut after 5 days ageing
- ✓ MSA 4 grill or roast after 14 days ageing
- ✓ MSA 4 stir fry cut after 21 days ageing

Who is responsible for ageing?

All MSA product has a minimum five-day ageing period before it can be sold to the consumer. Ageing meat requires refrigerated storage which adds cost. When MSA product has two grade options, it can be sold at either grade. In this way, the processor, wholesaler or retailer who is prepared to bear the cost of ageing, can then gain the benefit of a value-added product. Using the carton label below as an example: the processor may wish to age the product for five days to be sold as MSA 3. The wholesaler may wish to move the product quickly and also sell it as MSA 3. The retailer, having determined a demand for MSA 4 product, may wish to store the product 14 days to be able to charge a premium for MSA 4 quality.

Can anything affect ageing?

The pH temperature decline maintained at the abattoir can have a significant effect on the potential ageing of a product. Carcasses that go through a very rapid pH decline will be heat-shortened. When this happens the enzymes that enable the ageing process to occur are destroyed. This results in the product not being able to age at all (See *MSA Tips & Tools: The effect of the pH temperature decline on eating quality*).

For more information

Visit www.mla.com.au/msa or contact MSA 1800 111 672.




Level 1, 165 Walker Street,
North Sydney NSW 2060
Ph: +61 2 9463 9333
Fax: +61 2 9463 9393
www.mla.com.au

Reprinted June 2010
ISBN: 1 74036 391 4
© Meat & Livestock Australia, 2010
ABN 39 081 678 364

tips & tools

MEAT STANDARDS AUSTRALIA




MSA13

The effect of cooking on beef eating quality

How cooking method affects eating quality

Muscle is made up of muscle fibre groups, surrounded and supported by connective tissue which contains collagen fibres. Collagen fibres form cross-links to stabilise and strengthen muscles. Different muscles have varying amounts of connective tissue related to the amount and type of work the muscle has to do. For example the shin muscles, which are used constantly, have a high connective tissue content. This can be seen in the picture below which compares a cross section of shin with tenderloin.



The collagen and connective tissue can be partially broken down through slow or casserole cooking which use low heat and moisture over a long period of time. The broken down connective tissue provides the gelatinous or thickened texture of the casserole. This is why shin beef is best suited to casseroles and why it is commonly known as gravy beef.

By contrast, a muscle such as the tenderloin (fillet) which sits on the inside of the spine near the pelvis, does very little work, so contains almost no connective tissue. As a result this muscle is very tender.

The tenderloin would not be suitable for casserole cooking as its structure would be completely broken down. This cut is best suited to pan frying or grilling.

Key points

- The cooking method used is one of the most important factors in eating quality and can be used to optimise the performance of a piece of beef.
- MSA uses cooking method as part of the grade.

Why is it important to include cooking method on the label?

Different cooking methods can alter eating quality. A rump steak, for example, is the traditional BBQ meat of Australia. MSA research indicated rump was better utilised as roast, stir fry or thin slice. However other cuts, such as the tenderloin, were not improved by roasting. Some examples of these relationships are shown in the table below.

Cooking method	Eye rump side		Eye of knuckle		Tenderloin	
	MSA score	MSA grade	MSA score	MSA grade	MSA score	MSA grade
Grill	53	3	47	3	77	5
Roast	62	3	60	3	76	4
Stir fry	60	3	55	3	79	5
Thin slice	60	3	58	3	73	4
Slow cook	Not tested		48	3	Not tested	
Yakiniku	64	4	Not tested		69	4
Shabu shabu	Not tested		Not tested		65	4

The above data is taken from a standard MSA carcass with the following specifications: HSCW 240kg; male; 25% TBC; AT hang; ossification 150; MSA marbling 270; meat colour 1C; rib fat 7mm; pH 5.55; loin temp 7.0°C; ageing 5 days and non HGP-treated.

Today's consumers do not have extensive cooking knowledge. Beef is a particularly confusing subject as there are many different cut names and no clear direction as to the best cooking method for each of these. Consumers are reliant on the information from their butcher or on finding a label in a supermarket.

Using the correct cooking method with the correct cut of beef is the most important factor in maintaining eating quality. MSA grading predicts the eating quality of each carcass muscle when cooked by various methods. The retailer can use this information to prepare and sell each cut in the form which provides the best eating experience.

The MSA retail label provides the required cooking advice to the consumer in conjunction with the grade. This provides the consumer guarantee and removes the need for consumers to have any knowledge of beef cuts and their usage.

The cooking method labels used in MSA

The following cooking methods are used as part of the MSA grade. Where MSA is used to underpin a brand, that brand can have its own cooking label but the corresponding cooking method for the cut and grade must be displayed.



ROAST

Roast

Cuts displaying this symbol are suitable for roasting in a moderate oven (180°C). Accurate cooking is best determined using a meat thermometer. Internal temperatures should be as follows for the different degrees of doneness: Rare 60°C; Medium 65°C; Well done 75°C. When the roast is removed from the oven, allow it to rest for 10 minutes prior to carving.



SLOW COOK

Casserole or slow cook

Cuts displaying this cooking method should be cooked in sauce or gravy on low heat for two hours. The product is prepared in 20mm cubes.



STIR-FRY

Stir-fry

Cuts suitable for this cooking method can be purchased already cut into strips. If cutting is required, slice strips at right angles to the grain and approximately 10mm in width and depth, and approximately 75mm in length.



THIN SLICE

Thin slice

Products displayed as thin slice should be prepared by cutting the product to 2mm thickness.



GRILL

Pan fry/grill (BBQ)

Steaks displaying either of these symbols are suitable for cooking in a pan, grill or BBQ. Must be sliced a minimum of 21mm thick.



PAN FRY



SHABU SHABU

Shabu shabu

Products displayed with this symbol are suitable for wet cooking and should be prepared by cutting the product to 1.5-1.8mm thickness. To get the best result, chill the product and cut on a slicing wheel.



YAKINIKU

Yakiniku

Products displayed with this symbol are suitable for dry cooking methods and should be prepared by cutting the product to 4mm thickness.



CORN

Corn

Products displayed with this symbol are suitable for corning. The product is corned using a cure of the value-adder's choice and prepared by a slow, wet cook.

The consumer guarantee

MSA product is guaranteed. If a consumer purchases MSA product and cooks it as labelled, it is guaranteed to eat as graded.

For more information

Visit www.mla.com.au/msa or contact MSA 1800 111 672.



Level 1, 165 Walker Street,
North Sydney NSW 2060
Ph: +61 2 9463 9333
Fax: +61 2 9463 9393
www.mla.com.au

Reprinted June 2010
ISBN: 1 74036 391 4
© Meat & Livestock Australia, 2010
ABN 39 081 678 364

tips & tools

MEAT STANDARDS AUSTRALIA

MSA14

Fat distribution and eating quality

What is fat distribution?

Fat distribution is the coverage and distribution of subcutaneous (external) fat on a carcase.

Why do we need fat cover?

An even coverage of subcutaneous fat leads to even chilling throughout the underlying muscles. The greater the fat depth on a carcase, the slower and more uniform the muscle-chilling rate will be. The coverage and distribution of subcutaneous fat over primals helps prevent dehydration and provides protection for the muscles from microbial contamination.

Uneven fat coverage causes the muscles with inadequate coverage to chill at a faster rate. An irregular pattern of pH-temperature decline occurs, which can create cold-shortening conditions near the surface and heat-shortening in the deep core. The rate of pH decline can impact on the predictability of eating quality, specifically by falling too slowly and increasing the potential for cold-shortening or by falling too quickly and increasing the potential for heat-shortening. (See *MSA Tips & Tools: The effect of pH-temperature decline on beef eating quality*).

Uneven fat distribution can occur when fat is removed from a carcase during the mechanical removal of the hide, exposing the underlying muscle. This is known as hide puller damage and can lead to uneven chilling throughout the exposed muscles.

MSA grading requirements for fat distribution

The grader must assess the distribution of fat over primals to ensure coverage is sufficiently adequate to prevent severe chilling.

A minimum of 3mm of subcutaneous rib fat at the quartering site, or 5mm at the P8 site is required. Where parts of the carcase are void of fat coverage, affected primals or the entire carcase are ungraded.

The MSA standard will accept hide puller damage less than 10cm² on a single primal or if the damage occurs over a cutting line, for example, the

Key points

- Fat distribution is the coverage and distribution of subcutaneous fat on a carcase.
- Even fat distribution is required to ensure the carcase chills at a uniform rate.
- Carcasses may fail to meet MSA specifications if the fat distribution is inadequate.

caudal (tail) end of the striploin and the cranial end of the rump, or if the area is less than 10cm² on each primal.

Fat distribution standards

Rib fat	3mm minimum
P8	5mm minimum
Fat distribution	Must be even and adequate Void area <10 cm ²

Adequate fat distribution



Hide puller damage

Key points

- Hide-puller damage leads to uneven chilling of the exposed area of the carcase.
- Where hide puller damage of greater than 10cm² occurs on a single primal cut, the affected primal or the whole carcase is downgraded.

What is hide-puller damage?

Hide puller damage occurs when fat is removed during the mechanical removal of the hide, exposing the underlying muscle.



Why is it important to maintain even fat coverage?

A carcass that has patches of fat removed during the hide removal process will not chill evenly in the exposed muscles. These muscles will chill at a faster rate with a larger temperature difference within those muscles. An irregular pattern of pH-temperature decline occurs, which can create cold-shortening conditions near the surface and heat-shortening in the deep core. Commercially this is often seen as 'two toning' in cuts such as the rump where meat colour can be light in the centre and dark at the edges. (See *MSA Tips & Tools: The effect of pH-temperature decline on beef eating quality.*)

MSA grading requirements for hide puller damage

The MSA grader assesses hide-puller damage during grading, with the main focus over the major primals where effects are most severe, such as the cube roll, striploin and rump. The MSA standard will accept hide-puller damage less than 10cm² on a single primal or if the damage occurs over a cutting line, for example, the caudal end of the striploin and the cranial end of the rump, or if the area is less than 10cm² on each primal.

MLA makes no representation as to the accuracy of any information or advice contained in this document and excludes all liability, whether in contract, tort (including negligence or breach of statutory duty) or otherwise as a result of reliance by any person on such information or advice.



Managing downgraded cuts for hide puller damage

Where a single primal is void of fat coverage (>10cm²), the primal or the carcass may be ungraded (fail to meet MSA requirements). Processors have the option of either ungrading the entire carcass or removing the primal affected by the hide-puller damage. When choosing to remove the primal:

- The grader must identify the affected primal at the time of assessment.
- The processor must be able to show MSA there is a process in place to exclude the affected cut. (Written in the QMS).

For more information

Visit www.mla.com.au/msa or contact MSA 1800 111 672.



Level 1, 165 Walker Street,
North Sydney NSW 2060
Ph: +61 2 9463 9333
Fax: +61 2 9463 9393
www.mla.com.au

Reprinted June 2010
ISBN: 1 74036 391 4
© Meat & Livestock Australia, 2010
ABN 39 081 678 364

tips & tools

MEAT STANDARDS AUSTRALIA

MSA15

Selling cattle through an MSA saleyard

The MSA program is a valuable asset for the Australian beef industry as it provides opportunities to differentiate product in the domestic market. The work undertaken by MSA has seen increased competitive advantage in beef production, processing and retailing sectors of the industry.

The standards have recently been expanded to include the Saleyards pathway for eligible cattle. This detail has produced the *MSA standards manual for saleyard consignment*, which is available on the MSA website for MSA licensed saleyards.

Preparing cattle for sale

Cattle are exposed to stress during the selling procedure, caused by movement from the farm to the sale and the abattoir, unfamiliar sights, sounds and smells, and the actual auction process itself. These factors cause the animals to use their energy to deal with the surrounding stress resulting in energy levels being depleted. It is important that cattle have sufficient energy to cope with this and maintain reserves of energy (see *MSA Tips & Tools: The effect of pH on beef eating quality*.)

Cattle carrying a lot of fat or in finished condition can still be energy deficient and can be draining their energy reserves well before they appear to be losing condition. The only way to ensure cattle have high energy reserves is to feed high energy feed for a period of 30 days prior to consignment.

Low energy feed such as poor quality feed or pastures are not adequate to maintain the energy reserves of cattle. Low energy levels in cattle will result in a high pH carcase, dark meat colour and reduced eating quality.

Cattle are not to be drafted or mixed with new mobs. When cattle are mixed with new mobs they go through a period of adjustment to the social group pecking order. While this period of adjustment is occurring the mob is subjected to increased stress. This is also the reason behind the MSA requirement for there to be no mixing of lots at either the saleyard or the abattoir (see *MSA Tips & Tools: MSA requirements for handling cattle*).

Key points

- MSA eligible cattle can be sold through MSA licensed saleyards or livestock exchanges.
- Producers must be registered to supply cattle to licensed saleyards.
- Agents must be registered to handle cattle through the pathways.
- Training requirement for agents and saleyard operators.
- Requirements detailed in *MSA standards manual for saleyard consignment*.

At the sale

Upon arrival of consignments at the saleyard MSA vendor declarations are verified as being correct and eligible in accordance with the standards. Cattle are penned in appropriate yards, importantly mobs must not be mixed or inter-lotted. Pens of eligible cattle are labelled with their eligibility under the standard, dispatch time from farm and maximum time till slaughter.

After the sale

The MSA vendor declaration (or a copy) must continue with the consignments where they are destined for MSA grading. The licensed saleyard will monitor the adherence of the standards at each sale and ensure ineligible cattle are excluded from the sale. The saleyard will maintain documentation to ensure the standards are controlled.



On-farm responsibilities: all cattle production systems

- producers must be registered with MSA to supply cattle for grading
- no secondary sexual characteristics
- no cattle that have been severely sick or injured
- no cattle of poor temperament
- all cattle must reside on the property of dispatch for a minimum of 30 days prior to dispatch
- cattle are to be managed as a single mob for a minimum of 14 days prior to dispatch for slaughter
- MSA vendor declaration must be delivered with the cattle (*as supplementation to other state-based requirements*)



Agents responsibilities

- agents must be registered
- adhere to the *MSA standards manual for saleyard consignment*

Saleyard responsibilities

- Livestock exchange and saleyard to be licensed and have completed training as defined by the authorised authority.
- The livestock exchange or saleyard must have systems in place that will be monitored from time to time to verify compliance against the *MSA standards manual for saleyard consignment* as determined by the authorised authority.
- MSA vendor declaration to accompany cattle to and from livestock exchange and saleyard.
- MSA eligible cattle to be clearly identified at all times.
- Cattle groups are not to be mixed at any point from farm to slaughter, excluding split mobs.
- No cattle that have been severely sick or injured at the time of sale.
- Cattle shall be held on soft standing, within the livestock exchange or saleyard facility other than the minimum period of time required for the actual sale.
- Cattle within the livestock exchange or saleyard will have access to water at all times.

Processor responsibilities

- Cattle shall be slaughtered within 36 hours after dispatch from the farm or property.
- Five CMQ4 score point deduction for saleyard pathways.

For more information

Visit www.mla.com.au/msa or contact MSA 1800 111 672.



Level 1, 165 Walker Street,
North Sydney NSW 2060
Ph: +61 2 9463 9333
Fax: +61 2 9463 9393
www.mla.com.au

Reprinted June 2010
ISBN: 1 74036 391 4
© Meat & Livestock Australia, 2010
ABN 39 081 678 364

tips & tools

MEAT STANDARDS AUSTRALIA

MSA16

The effect of growth promotants on beef eating quality

What are growth promotants?

Growth promotants registered for cattle are pellets that are implanted under the skin of the ear. Growth promotants play a vital role in delivering the required productivity gains in various sectors of the beef supply chain through increased weight gain and improved feed conversion efficiency.

Growth promotants contain synthetic forms of oestradiol, progesterone and/or testosterone as the active ingredient. Their action is anabolic, that is, they increase nitrogen retention and protein deposition in animals. These compounds occur naturally in untreated animals; treatment simply increases the concentration and metabolic effect. The well-proven effects of growth promotants are heavier weights for age, a reduction in marbling at a constant carcass weight, or an increase in carcass weight at constant fat levels. A plentiful supply of good quality feed must be available to achieve this growth response.

What is the impact on eating quality?

MSA research has established that growth promotants may have an effect on the eating quality of some cuts. The effect differs between muscles and is reduced with cut ageing. The striploin and cube roll are worst affected, the rump and topside intermediate, and other cuts are less affected. MSA research was conducted with product from male and female cattle produced in both northern and southern Australia utilising both grass and grainfed systems. Breeds included purebred Angus and *Bos indicus* composites sourced from commercial and research herds. A number of growth promotant products and combinations were used with between one and seven treatments at various stages of production.

What is the effect on marbling?

The use of growth promotants reduces the amount of marbling at a constant carcass weight. With reduced marbling there is a reduction in MSA score for many cuts. (See *MSA Tips & Tools: The effect of marbling on beef eating quality*).


Key points

- Growth promotants can have an effect on eating quality.
- The effect varies across different muscles.
- The effect can be managed utilising other MSA pathways, eg ageing and or tenderstretching.
- Cattle treated with growth promotants are eligible for MSA grading.

What is the effect on ossification?

Australian and US research has shown that ossification is increased by growth promotant use. This increase can be quite dramatic when the growth promotant is applied at a young age. The research concluded that the increase in ossification score is variable depending on the time of implanting. If ossification were constant, then the increased carcass weight gained from using the growth promotant would lead to a higher MSA score, however this is not the case in commercial application.

The effect of using anabolic implants on growth



Ageing	AT		Tenderstretch		AT		Tenderstretch		AT		Tenderstretch	
	5 days		5 days		14 days		14 days		21 days		21 days	
Growth promotant treatment	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Tenderloin	MSA4	MSA4	MSA4	MSA4	MSA4	MSA4	MSA4	MSA4	MSA4	MSA4	MSA4	MSA4
Striploin	MSA3	ungrade	MSA3	MSA3	MSA3	ungrade	MSA3	MSA3	MSA3	MSA3	MSA3	MSA3
Cube roll	MSA3	MSA3	MSA4	MSA3	MSA3	MSA3	MSA4	MSA3	MSA3	MSA3	MSA4	MSA3
Rump	MSA3	ungrade	MSA3	MSA3	MSA3	ungrade	MSA3	MSA3	MSA3	MSA3	MSA3	MSA3
Blade	MSA3	ungrade	MSA3	ungrade	MSA3	MSA3	MSA3	MSA3	MSA3	MSA3	MSA3	MSA3
Topside	ungrade	ungrade	ungrade	ungrade	ungrade	ungrade	ungrade	ungrade	ungrade	ungrade	ungrade	ungrade

The above information is based on a carcass with the following carcass characteristics: 250kg male; 260 ossification; MSA marble 280; 38% TBC; meat colour 1c; rib fat 7mm; pH 5.55; loin temp 7.0 and cooking method grill.

How will my cattle grade?

Growth promotant use will not exclude cattle from MSA grading but it will affect the MSA score obtained for different muscles, depending on how close they are to the grade boundary. The MSA score for each cut is determined by a combination of variables. Some, such as marbling and carcass weight, are positive, while others, such as increased maturity, are negative. It is the combination of all these factors that determines the difference.

How can grading outcomes be improved?

There are two principal post-slaughter management procedures that can be utilised to improve the eating quality of animals treated with growth promotants. The first is to increase the ageing period, especially on cuts that have high-ageing rates. The second is to use the tenderstretch method of hanging carcasses. The improvement with ageing correlates with the ageing potential of the muscles, so that cuts that improve significantly with ageing, such as striploin, will improve to a greater extent than cuts such as tenderloin.

Tenderstretch has a positive impact on eating quality (See *MSA Tips & Tools How tenderstretch affects beef eating quality*). The table above shows the effect of ageing or tenderstretch on the example carcass shown above from a steer implanted with growth promotants.

MSA's objective is to accurately predict the eating quality as judged by the consumer, not to be prescriptive as to how to raise, process or sell cattle. The decision on whether or not to include growth promotants in a management program rests with the producer and will be influenced by the mix of production and eating quality effects and their economic impact.



A tenderstretch carcass.

For more information

Visit www.mla.com.au/msa or contact MSA 1800 111 672.



Level 1, 165 Walker Street,
North Sydney NSW 2060
Ph: +61 2 9463 9333
Fax: +61 2 9463 9393
www.mla.com.au

Reprinted June 2010
ISBN: 1 74036 391 4
© Meat & Livestock Australia, 2010
ABN 39 081 678 364

tips & tools

MEAT STANDARDS AUSTRALIA

MSA17

Maximising eating quality with tropical bred cattle

The effect of tropical breed content on beef eating quality

MSA research has shown that as a single attribute, breed has around 12% effect on eating quality. The major effect is on the striploin, cube roll, tenderloin and oyster blade primals. As tropical breed content increases, eating quality scores decrease, see Table 1 below for examples of MSA scores, ranging from 0–100.

The effect of tropical breed content on eating quality scores differs according to specific primal cuts. For example, in Table 1, as the tropical breed percentage increases, the eating quality scores for the rump primals barely change. However, the striploin primal score reduces significantly, decreasing to 46 in the 100% TBC animal.

Key points

- All breeds of cattle are eligible for the MSA program.
- Breed content has up to a 12% effect on beef eating quality.
- On-farm management of genetics, nutrition and weight gain will maximise eating quality of beef from tropical bred cattle.
- Processors can further improve product by ageing primal cuts for extended periods or using tenderstretch hanging techniques.

Table 1 The effect of tropical breed content on eating quality scores

%Tropical breed content	0%	38%	50%	100%
Breed example	British/Euro	Santa Gertrudis	Brahman x British/Euro	Brahman
Tenderloin	74	71	71	67
Cube roll	64	61	60	56
Striploin	59	54	53	46
Rump	52	51	50	48

■ MSA 3 star

■ MSA 4 star

■ MSA 5 star

Example animal: Male; HGP treated; 270kg HSCW; ossification 170; MSA marbling 330; rib fat 5mm; pH 5.55; Achilles hanging method; grill cooking method and 35 days ageing.

MSA eating quality scores range from 0–100. According to consumer research, scores <46 fail eating quality expectations, therefore are classified as 'ungrades' and may not be sold as MSA certified product.

On-farm management to maximise eating quality

To achieve higher returns on-farm, producers should focus on meeting the specifications of the processor, to produce the best possible meat eating quality for consumers. Each processor may have specific breed requirements.

On-farm management of genetics, nutrition and weight gain can maximise the eating quality of tropical breed cattle.

Nutrition

Cattle should be kept on a rising plane of nutrition for at least 30 days prior to processing. This is a vital stage of cattle production, where set-backs can have a significant impact on meat eating quality.

Ossification

Since northern cattle are generally subjected to more environmental stresses than southern cattle, maturity and ossification occur at a more rapid rate, adversely affecting meat eating quality. Therefore, while the 30 days prior to processing are important, good nutrition right through the life of the animal can slow the rate of ossification, therefore maximising eating quality.

Weight

In order to enhance eating quality, on-farm management practices should focus on reaching the optimal weight at the youngest possible age of the animal.

Tropical breed content is beneficial for cattle in harsh climates as they are genetically adapted to heat, can produce on low quality pastures, and are resistant to parasites. However, the introduction of European or British genetics to form composite breeds can significantly improve eating quality while maintaining an environmentally adapted herd.

Post slaughter management

Ageing primals to improve eating quality

Beef from tropical breed cattle can be further improved with ageing. Extended ageing of vacuum-packed primals improves eating quality in many cuts, as during storage in the bag under refrigeration, naturally occurring enzymes continue to break down muscle fibres in the meat. As the ageing period extends, the beef becomes more tender, with the most improvement occurring in the first 21 days.

See Table 2 (over the page) which shows the effect of the ageing process on primals from a 50% tropical breed content animal. The striploin and rump primals improve to achieve MSA quality after 21 days ageing, while the ageing has minimal effect on the tenderloin.



Example of a vacuum-packed primal.



Table 2 The effect of ageing on eating quality scores

Ageing period	5 days	14 days	21 days	35 days
Tenderloin	69	70	70	70
Cube roll	50	54	56	59
Striploin	41 (fail)	45 (fail)	48	51
Rump	43 (fail)	45 (fail)	47	50

■ MSA 3 star ■ MSA 4 star ■ MSA 5 star

Example animal: Male; HGP treated; 250kg HSCW; ossification 170; MSA marbling 300; rib fat 5mm; pH 5.55; Achilles hanging method; TBC 50% and grill cooking method.

MSA eating quality scores range from 0–100. According to consumer research, scores <46 fail eating quality expectations, therefore are classified as 'ungrades' and may not be sold as MSA certified product.

Tenderstretch

Tenderstretch can be used as an alternative means of hanging the carcass during chilling to improve meat tenderness. The process can reduce the meat ageing period required to achieve the same eating quality result.

Tenderstretching a carcass involves suspension from either the pelvic bone or through the iliosacral ligament, so the leg drops at a 90° angle. This differs from the mainstream method of hanging a carcass by the Achilles tendon.

When a carcass is tenderstretched, a number of muscles are held in a stretched position so they cannot contract, especially muscles in the hindquarter.

Table 3: The effect of achilles and tenderstretch hanging method on eating quality scores after 5 days of ageing

	Achilles	Tenderstretch
Tenderloin	69	64
Cube roll	50	56
Striploin	41 (fail)	49
Rump	43 (fail)	51

■ MSA 3 star ■ MSA 4 star ■ MSA 5 star

Example animal: Male; HGP treated; 250kg HSCW; ossification 170; MSA marbling 300; rib fat 5mm; pH 5.55; TBC 50% and grill cooking method.

Table 4 Example of tropical breed content in various cattle breeds

Breed	TBC	Breed	TBC
Brahman	100%	70	38%
Boran	100%	54	0%
Droughtmaster*	50%	45 (fail)	0%
Brangus*	50%		0%
Brahmousin*	50%	45 (fail)	0%

*These breeds may vary in tropical breed content from 25% to 75% depending on actual breed make-up.

Table 5 Example of tropical breed content in composite breeds

Crosses			
Breed		Cow	TBC
Brahman	x	Santa x Angus	60%
Angus	x	Brahman	50%
Santa Gertrudis	x	Brahman x Hereford	44%
Santa Gertrudis	x	Droughtmaster	44%
Euro or British	x	Brahman x Santa	34%
Belmont Red	x	Brahman	50%
Santa Gertrudis	x	Santa x Euro	28%
Euro or British	x	Droughtmaster	25%
Euro or British	x	Santa Gertrudis	19%



For more information

Visit www.mla.com.au/msa or contact MSA 1800 111 672.



Level 1, 165 Walker Street,
North Sydney NSW 2060
Ph: +61 2 9463 9333
Fax: +61 2 9463 9393
www.mla.com.au

Reprinted June 2010
ISBN: 1 74036 391 4
© Meat & Livestock Australia, 2010
ABN 39 081 678 364

MLA makes no representation as to the accuracy of any information or advice contained in this document and excludes all liability, whether in contract, tort (including negligence or breach of statutory duty) or otherwise as a result of reliance by any person on such information or advice.



Level 1, 165 Walker Street,
North Sydney NSW 2060
Ph: +61 2 9463 9333
Fax: +61 2 9463 9393
www.mla.com.au
